UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/730,210	12/08/2003	Marc Richelsoph	BBM-141US2	2079		
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 12/06/200 STIA	7 .	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 980		SHAFFER, RICHARD R				
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3733			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
•			12/06/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
10/730,210	RICHELSOPH ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Richard R. Shaffer	3733				

-			Richard R. Shaf	ffer	3733	
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appea	rs on the cove	r sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
	ILED 27 November 2007 FAILS TO PL				· ·	
1. ⊠ The reply this appl places th	was filed after a final rejection, but prici ication, applicant must timely file one of ne application in condition for allowance st for Continued Examination (RCE) in c	or to or on f the follow e; (2) a Not	the same day as ing replies: (1) a ice of Appeal (w	s filing a Notice of in amendment, aff ith appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The	period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the moeriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dayent, however, will the statutory period for rep	ite of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Exan	niner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se	box (a) or (l). ONLY CHECK		=	
have been filed under 37 CFR 1 set forth in (b) a	ne may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). is the date for purposes of determining the p.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration d bove, if checked. Any reply received by the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF.	eriod of ext late of the s Office later	ension and the cor hortened statutory than three months	responding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the a Notice	ce of Appeal was filed on A brie Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus	any exter	ision thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENT						
(a) <u>⊠</u> Th	posed amendment(s) filed after a final r ney raise new issues that would require f ney raise the issue of new matter (see N	further cor	sideration and/o			ecause
	ney are not deemed to place the application peal; and/or	tion in bet	ter form for appe	al by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
	ney present additional claims without car OTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37	_			ected claims.	
	endments are not in compliance with 37				mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	nt's reply has overcome the following re				·	(
6. Newly p	proposed or amended claim(s) wowable claim(s).			-		ent canceling the
how the The state Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment of amended claims would be reject us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow allowed: objected to: rejected: 1-8,10,13-17,21 and 61.	ted is prov			II be entered and an e	explanation of
	withdrawn from consideration: <u>13 and :</u> R OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>14</u> .				
8. The affid because	lavit or other evidence filed after a final applicant failed to provide a showing of earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e	f good and	before or on the sufficient reaso	e date of filing a N ons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
entered showing	lavit or other evidence filed after the dat because the affidavit or other evidence a good and sufficient reasons why it is	failed to o necessary	vercome <u>all</u> reje and was not ea	ctions under appe rlier presented S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
_	idavit or other evidence is entered. An e OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	explanation	n of the status of	the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
	quest for reconsideration has been consontinuation Sheet.	sidered but	t does NOT plac	e the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note th	e attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Par	per No(s)		
13. 🗌 Other: ַ	· ·		Syl		Richard Shaffer	haffer
	-\$C	EDU SPERVIS	ARDO(C/ROB ORY PATENT	ERT EXAMINER	December 2 nd , 200	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 alters the scope of the claim therefore requiring additional consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues with regard independent claim 61 that neither Michelson nor Ralph et al anticipate the claim. It is brought to applicant's attention that claim 61 recites "insert means ... for accomodating ..." therefore stating any language afterwards as functional language. Since it was clear that the retaining means was inserted into the bore and is rotatable, etc, it is clear that such language is the insert means but generally referred as screw due to the rest of the claims. There is no requirement in claim 61 to have both an insert and a screw retaining.